IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

| FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCU | FILED ITU.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT |
|--|--|
| No. 09-14439 Non-Argument Calendar | MAY 27, 2010 JOHN LEY CLERK |
| D. C. Docket No. 09-00029-CR-4 | - 4-RH |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | |
| | Plaintiff-Appellee, |
| versus | |
| MIGUEL ANGEL HUERTA, a.k.a. Miguel Angel Estrada, | |
| | Defendant-Appellant. |
| | _ |
| Appeal from the United States Distri | |
| (May 27, 2010) | |
| Refore EDMONDSON, CARNES and MARCUS, Circu | uit Indaes |

PER CURIAM:

Miguel Angel Huerta appeals his 15-month low-end guideline sentence, after pleading guilty to illegal re-entry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b)(1). Huerta concedes that Vega-Castillo* forecloses his argument that the district court should have considered sentencing disparities between fast-track and non-fast-track districts when it imposed his sentence. He nevertheless submits that we wrongly decided Vega-Castillo and asks us to reconsider it en banc.

We review <u>de novo</u> the district court's application and interpretation of the sentencing guidelines. <u>United States v. Wilks</u>, 464 F.3d 1240, 1242 (11th Cir. 2006). Under our prior precedent rule, a panel of this Court is bound to follow a prior binding precedent, "unless and until it is overruled by this court en banc or by the Supreme Court." <u>United States v. Brown</u>, 342 F.3d 1245, 1246 (11th Cir. 2003).

Because as a panel we are bound by <u>Vega-Castillo</u>, we affirm.

AFFIRMED.

^{* &}lt;u>United States v. Vega-Castillo</u>, 540 F.3d 1235 (11th Cir.), <u>rehearing en banc denied</u>, 548 F.3d 980 (11th Cir. 2008), <u>cert denied</u>, ___ U.S. ___, 129 S. Ct. 2825, 174 L. Ed.2d 556 (2009).